Appendices

Participation Criteria and Checklist

Guidance for IEP Teams:
Participation Decisions for Instruction
Using Alternate Standards and/or
Participation in the
Alternate Assessments

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Alternate Appendix A: Participation Criteria and Checklist (must be completed annually)

IEP teams are **required** to use this *Participation Criteria* and *Checklist* when determining eligibility for students with a "significant cognitive disability" for participation in the alternate assessments and/or alternate instructional standards. In order for the student to be eligible to participate, the IEP team must <u>AGREE</u> to <u>ALL</u> of the criteria items. If the IEP team disagrees, responding with a <u>DISAGREE</u> for one or more questions, the IEP team should consider the student eligible for participation in the general assessments with or without accommodations. The IEP team must use multiple sources of information, such as the current IEP, results from formal and informal assessments, data gathered from classroom assessments, and information gathered from parent/guardian/student that document academic achievement to guide the decision-making process for participation in the appropriate instructional framework and statewide assessment. This form must be stored in the student's electronic file.

IEP Team Date		
Student Name	Disability Code	DOB
Residence School	Service School	
Service County	Grade	
SASID#	LEA Number	
IEP Team Chairperson	Signature	
(IEP Team Chairperson signature v		

Team Members: Each participant should print name, provide title, and sign/date below:

Name (please print)	Title	Signature	Date

^{*}If the parent does not attend the meeting and does not sign this form, attach documentation parent notification and informed consent for the meeting along with notification of the decisions of the IEP team that were provided to the parent.

Parent/Guardian Understanding

I have been informed that if my child is determined eligible to participate in instruction using alternate standards and/or the alternate assessments through the IEP team decision-making process:

1.	If my child continues to participate in the instruction using alternate standards and/or the
	Maryland Alternate Assessments, he/she will be progressing toward a Maryland Certificate of
	Program Completion. His/her continued participation in instruction using alternate standards
	and/or the alternate will not prepare him/her to meet Maryland's high school diploma
	requirements (Parent/Guardian initials)
2.	The decision for my child to participate in the alternate assessments and/or alternate
	instructional standards must be made annually (Parent/Guardian initials)

Maryland Alternate Assessment Participation Criteria	Circle Agree Or Disagree	Evidence	Sources of Evidence (check if used)
1. The student has a current Individualized Education Program (IEP) that includes specially designed instruction comprised of accommodations, evidence-based practices, program modifications, personnel support, and evidence the student cannot access the general education standards despite ongoing interventions.	Agree	Accommodations: Evidence-based practices: Program modifications: Personnel support: Evidence the student cannot access general education standards:	IEP progress notes Teacher reports Impact statement Other:
2. The student has a significant cognitive disability that impacts intellectual functioning and adaptive behavior. A significant cognitive disability is pervasive and affects learning across all content areas, independent functioning, community living, leisure, and vocational activities.	Agree Disagree	Impact of intellectual functioning: Impact of adaptive behavior:	Results of Individual Cognitive Ability Test Results of Adaptive Behavior Skills Assessment Results of individual and group administered achievement tests Results of informal assessments Results of individual English/language arts, science, and mathematics assessments Results of district-wide assessments Results of language assessments including English Learner (EL) assessments, if applicable. Other:

Circle Agree Or Disagree	Evidence	Sources of Evidence (check if used)
Agree Disagree	Curriculum modifications:	Examples of curriculum, instructional objectives and materials, including work samples Present levels of academic and functional performance, goals and objectives for the IEP Data from scientific research-based interventions Progress monitoring data. Other:
Agree Disagree	Modified materials: Instructional methods:	Examples of curriculum, instructional objectives and materials, including work samples from both school and community-based instruction.
		Teacher collected data and checklists. Present levels of academic and functional performance, goals and objectives, and post-school outcomes from the IEP and the Transition Plan for students age 14 and older unless State policy or the IEP team determines a younger age is appropriate. Parent input
	Agree Or Disagree Agree Disagree	Agree Or Disagree Agree Curriculum modifications: Disagree Agree Disagree Modified materials: Disagree

The criteria for participation in the alternate assessments and/or alternate instructional standards reflect the pervasive nature of a significant cognitive disability. All content areas should be considered when determining who should participate. A student who participates in the Alternate Assessments participates in the assessments for all content areas of English/language arts, Mathematics, and Science.

Evaluation: Review of Cognitive/Educational/Adaptive

Educa	ationa	al Assessment:
Name	e and	Title of Examiner:
		nt Test Date:
		t:
Score	(s):	
Asses	smen	t Comments:
Name Most Asses	e and Recei	kills Assessment: Title of Examiner:
Asses	smen	t Comments:
		this space to include any additional assessment data/scores that guide the IEP team (optional):
docu belov	menta v. Ple	d and Composite Scores shall be considered when reviewing multiple sources of data. If ation in one of the requested areas is not available, a detailed explanation is required ease include any medical or other information that may have prevented administration of the t in the requested areas.
AGREE	DISAGREE	Evidence shows that the decision for participating in the Maryland Alternate Assessments and/or alternate standards was not based on the list below. To consider a student eligible for participation in the alternate assessments and/or the alternate standards, the IEP team must answer AGREE to ALL of the items listed below. NOTE: A DISAGREE response indicates the student did not meet the eligibility criteria for the Maryland Alternate Assessments and/or alternate standards, and therefore, will participate in the general standards and/or the general assessments with or without accommodations, as appropriate, based on his/her IEP. Please refer to the Maryland Assessment, Accessibility, & Accommodations Policy Manual for more information about accommodations and Maryland assessments.
		A disability category or label.
		Poor attendance or extended absences.
		Native language/social/cultural or economic differences.
		Expected poor performance on the general education assessment.
		Academic and other services the student receives.
		6. Educational environment or instructional setting.
		7. Percent of time receiving special education services.
		8. English Learner (EL) status.

		Evidence shows that the decision for participating in the Maryland Alternate
	DISAGREE	Assessments and/or alternate standards was not based on the list below. To consider a
		student eligible for participation in the alternate assessments and/or the alternate
		standards, the IEP team must answer AGREE to ALL of the items listed below. NOTE: A
RE		<u>DISAGREE</u> response indicates the student <u>did not meet the eligibility criteria for the</u>
AGREE		Maryland Alternate Assessments and/or alternate standards, and therefore, will
		participate in the general standards and/or the general assessments with or without
		accommodations, as appropriate, based on his/her IEP. Please refer to the Maryland
		Assessment, Accessibility, & Accommodations Policy Manual for more information about
		accommodations and Maryland assessments.
		9. Low reading and mathematics achievement level.
		10. Anticipated disruptive behavior.
		11. The impact of test scores on accountability system.
		12. Administrator decision.
		13. Anticipated emotional stress.
		14. Need for accommodations, e.g., assistive technology/ACC to participate in the
		assessment process.

IEP Team Statement of Assurance:

Our decision was based on multiple pieces of evidence that, when taken together, demonstrated that instruction using alternate standards and/or participation in the Maryland Alternate Assessments are appropriate for this student; that his/her academic instruction will be based on alternate academic achievement standards (the CCCs and EEs linked to the MCCRS); that the additional considerations listed above were not used to make this decision; and that any additional implications of this decision were discussed thoroughly, including that participation in the Maryland Alternate Assessments will not qualify a student for a regular high school diploma.

34 CFR § 300.160.d

AGREE	DISAGREE	Name	Title

Eligibility Criteria

As documented through the eligibility criteria and additional criterial listed above, it has been determined that the student is being instructed with modified grade-level content standard which do not fully represent grade-level content. Therefore, the student may not earn proficient scores on the general assessments even with the provision of accommodations.

AGREE DISAGREE
If the IEP team checked DISAGREE above, the student does not meet the eligibility criteria for the alternate assessments and/or alternate instructional standards as listed above and, therefore, the student will participate in the general assessments with or without accommodations, as appropriate based on his/her IEP. Refer to the <i>Maryland Assessment, Accessibility, & Accommodations Policy Manual</i> for more information about accommodations and Maryland's assessments.
Assessment Participation The IEP team agreed that the student met all criteria listed on this eligibility tool; therefore, the IEP team determined the student eligible to participate in the alternate assessments and/or alternate instructional standards.
AGREE DISAGREE
The historical data (current and longitudinal across multiple settings) justifies the IEP team's decision fo the student to participate in the alternate assessments and/or alternate instructional standards.
AGREE DISAGREE
Please use the space below to provide justification of the IEP team decision.
Please use the space below to document any disagreement with this decision by any IEP team member.